hand, since Martial is registering the things Fabianus' virtue will not allow him to do or be, trafficking could logically be one of them.

Since the HA has both singular and plural, it may be that Martial, Apuleius, and Vespa were all in the biographer's mind. His knowledge of the first two is beyond question, 10) and omnia fingendo at AS 35.6, standing as it does before the Turinus episode, may betray a debt to Vespa whose baker fingere novit/qui semper multis dicit se vendere fumum.

Latin arr(h)a

By Eric P. Hamp, Chicago

The shorter form arr(h)a has been called a shortening of $arrab\bar{o}$ (< Gk $\dot{\alpha}\varrho\varrho\check{\alpha}\beta\acute{\omega}\nu$ < Semitic), but we may wonder on what principle the shortening operated. It seems reasonable that the shortening arose in mercantile slang, whether or not $l\bar{e}n\bar{o}nes$ were involved.

Now we know that Plautus facetiously shortened arrabō to rabō: rabonem habeto - 'rabonem'? quam esse dicam hanc beluam? quin tu 'arrabonem' dicis? (Truc. 688) It seems that arrabō could be taken as ad-rabō.

If then $arrab\bar{o}$ in isolation could be understood as a delocutive from a future $arra-b\bar{o}$, as if 'I will pay, I will reckon up', the pseudo-root -ra-could have been associated with $rati\bar{o}$ 'reckoning', etc.

These shortenings would then have been morphologically motivated.

¹⁰⁾ Cf. Syme, Ammianus and the Historia Augusta (Oxford, 1968), 128, 199.